Received	Submission Reference	Issue	Specific Comment	Response/Action	Status	Target Date
11/03/2015	Pathfinders 1	Speed tables	I agree that speed calming measures should be put in place. Hopefully this will stop people on bikesiscoolers wheeling down the length of the service road. More disabled parking near to shops like lockind, the Co-Op and post office etc. are also a good lidea.	Supports scheme-no action needed	Reviewed	29/04/2015
11/03/2015	Pathfinders 2	Loading Bays	Taking every profiting spaces for loading style for the businesses is the part of the dain 1 do not agree with for two reasons. The first is that deem though it is not perfect, there is a service note to the rine or the shops for their devivers. Secondly, one of the proposed sights is colder number deven in this colder service a hardy conver where there is a dropped curb for crossing the road is line with the footfal from the trans stop. This, when a car is there (especially when paned flegally) causes a bid of a bind spot of wheelchat usees and mums with prama life crossing plont, having a delivery van panied there would make this matter even worse.	Loading bays omitted from scheme	Reviewed	29/04/2015
11/03/2015	Pathfinders 3	General	Finally I wonder, if in the remit of change, something could be done about the Greggs delivery van and others parking on the market square that is meant for pedestrian access. Cars using this as a quick route from one car park to another are endangering pedestrians, especially the young and elderly, walking from the East side over to the Vest side.	Not part of this consultation but will be investigated	Reviewed	29/04/2015
13/03/2015	Pathfinders 4	Parking spaces	Surely the whole consultation is flawed as it states 30 mins free parking – yet our local Counciliors have confirmed in writing on our page that there is now 1 hour free parking for the forseeable future.	No action - The extension to 1 hour parking is outside of the scope of this scheme and will be unaffected by its implementation	Reviewed	29/04/2015
13/03/2015	Pathfinders 5	Disbled parking	Pleased there are more dedicated disabled bays (this will make more sense to people if they knew the market is being moved).	Supports scheme -no action needed	Reviewed	29/04/2015
13/03/2015	Pathfinders 6	Loading Bays	Strongly object to the loading bays. Both Pathlinders and the BID have fought for years to sort the back service road befind the shops. Having now received continuation from the Council that the will be adopted, (we appreciate this may shops. Turthermore, none are even Eckeded wherever they regularly choose to park and unload now in areas such as shops. Turthermore, none are even Eckeded wherever they regularly choose to park and unload now in areas such as the market square and C permit hadder been you. Yet we are got a shartly them beguing peak shopping flower? and the shopping the shops of the shopping the	Loading bays omitted from scheme	Reviewed	29/04/2015
13/03/2015	Pathfinders 7	Speed tables	The speed tables are not a bad idea, but the proposal states these "is included in the public realm improvements for Central Paristic." I none of us as residents have seen plans for these improvements how can we adequately commen? At one time there were charges been proposed to the car parist. These could be from about, surely residents would have more throughout the country to the proposed which is not the public part of the p	Plans subsequently provided. Comment on speed tables supports scheme -no action needed	Reviewed	29/04/2015
10/03/2015	Councillors 1	Loading Bays	Our objection is on the basis that they are unnecessary and will deprive shoppes of much needed parking spaces close to the shops. There is a service road at the basis of the shops which is designed for deliveries so there is no need for isoding lawy for deliveries to the shops and any member of the public wishing to load their vehicles with purchases can utilise the existing parking bays which currently provide free parking for 1 hour.	Loading bays omitted from scheme	Reviewed	29/04/2015
17/03/2015	BID 1	Loading Bays	Loading bays not necessary and should be changed to parking spaces	Loading bays omitted from scheme	Reviewed	29/04/2015
17/03/2015	BID 2	Disabled Bays	No objection to disabled bays but they should be 1 hour maximum time not 3 hours along the stip road (car park can be 3 hours)	No action for present-provide at 3 hours as this is standard Croydon waiting time and monitor use to see if there is a need to reduce the maximum time to 1 hour.	Reviewed	29/04/2015
17/03/2015	BID 3	Parking Bays	Parking bays should be 1 hour, not 1/2 hour maximum free apriting	No action - The extension to 1 hour parking is outside of the scope of this scheme and will be unaffected by its implementation	Reviewed	29/04/2015
17/03/2015	BID 4	Speed tables	Speed tables supported but need barriers to prevent cars accessing the footways.	Review design to ensure well placed features prevent footway access by vehicles	Reviewed	29/04/2015